Demolition of School by Kanpur Cantonment Board

4028. SHRI GHUFRAN AZAM:
PROF. SAURIN BHATTACHARYA:

Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government have ordered investigations against the Kanpur Cantonment Board/authorities for the reported demolition of school building structures as were existing since 1948;

(b) whether Government's attention has been drawn to "news report appeared in the Pioneer, Lucknow dated the 1st August, 1992 under the caption "Demolition by Government Board" Convent schools remain closed;

(c) whether it is also a fact that large number of unauthorised constructions including that at 128, The Mall, Kanpur are still going on; and

(d) if so, the facts and details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF DEFENCE (SHRI SHARAD PAWAR): (a) to (d) Government has seen the news item published in The Pioneer, Lucknow, of 1st August, 1992 rgarding demolition of certain structures/constructions at St. Aloysious School, Kanpur Cantt by the Cantonment Board, on 30-7-1992. As these are unauthorised additions to the School site held on Old Grant terms, a notice under Section 256 of the Cantonments Acts, 1924, had been served on the School authorities to remove these constructions as early as 12-11-1976. The School authorities were even offered conversion of site into lease hold on payment of the required premium and annual rent, which was not acted upon. In he meanwhile, the unauthorised constructions persisted. There upon the Cantonment Board proceeded to take action for removal of the unauthorised constructions and a letter was issued on 10-9-91 to the School authorities, for their removal. As the School authorities did not do on their own, demolition was eventually carried out by the Cantonment Board. No investigation has been ordered.

It is not a fact that there are a large number of unauthorised constructions in Kanpur Cantonment which have been allowed to remain unchecked. The unauthorised constructions in the premises of Bungalow No. 128 have not been removed so far as the legal process thereof has not been completed.

Components for Missile Programmes

4029. SHRIMATI KAMLA SINHA:
SHRI MOHAMMED AFZAL *alia* MEEM AFZAL:
SHRI MURLIDHAR CHANDRAKANT BHANDARE:
SHRIMTI VEENA VERMA:
SHRI RAJUBHAI A. PARMAR:

Will the Minister of DEFENCE be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government's attention has been drawn to the report which appeared in the Sunday Times, London around June 15, 1992 alleging that New Delhi had clandestinely acquired from a UK firm M/s. GEC Marconi, certain components applicable to its missile and nuclear programmes; and

(b) if so, what is Government's reaction thereto?

THE MINISTER OF DEFENCE (SHRI SHARAD PAWAR): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) The Government has firmly denied the allegations made in the newsitem. Procurments were made after obtaining full clearance from the British authorities.

Licences for liquor and beer to Industrial Development Corporation

@4030. SHRIMATI RENUKA CHOWDHURY: Will the Minister of FOOD PROCESSING INDUSTRIES be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government had issued licences for liquor and beer to Industrial